



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,694 02/27/2002		Bruce W. Smith	55071-129 1516	
75	590 03/01/2004	EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			KIM, PETER B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2851	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	٧	2	/
/ V		•	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/083,694	SMITH, BRUCE W.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter B. Kim	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 De	ecember 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,4-8,11-15 and 18-27 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4-8,11-15 and 18-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers	cication requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed on Dec. 31, 2003 have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-7, 15, and 18-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Broeke et al. (Broeke) (2003/0064298).

Broeke discloses a method of transferring a lithographic pattern and a photography mask for optically transferring a pattern comprising: a plurality of resolvable features (22); and a nonresolvable optical proximity correction feature (24) having a transmission coefficient in the range of greater than 0% to less than 100%, wherein the non-resolvable optical proximity correction feature has a width dimension which is less than the width of a space separating the two of the plurality of resolvable features, the non-resolvable optical proximity correction feature disposed in the center of the space separating the two of the plurality of resolvable features (Fig. 10, and para 0068-0069). Regarding a device manufacturing method steps of providing a substrate that is partially covered by a radiation sensitive material, providing a projection beam, using a pattern on a mask and projection the patterned beam onto the layer of radiation-sensitive material, the steps are inherent to all device manufacturing methods.

Art Unit: 2851

Broeke also discloses a method and a mask wherein one of the non-resolvable features is placed between multiple pairs of the resolvable features (Fig. 10), and an off-axis illumination is utilized (para 0052). Broeke also discloses minimizing the increase in a second order diffraction and reducing isofocal inflection point (para 0076). Broeke discloses adjusting the width, position and transmission coefficient of the non-resolvable feature (0071-0074).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 8-14, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broeke et al. (Broeke).

Broeke discloses the claimed invention as discussed above; however, Broeke does not disclose illuminating the mask with off-axis illumination and computer program for directing the computer to generate a photolithography mask. Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art of lithography to use off-axis illumination to illuminate the mask and to use computer to generate a photolithography mask. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the mask of Broeke in a system that utilizes an off-axis illumination and to generate the mask of Broeke by a computer in order to use the mask in Broeke in more flexible manner in different lithography systems.

Response to Amendment

In response to applicant's amendments and arguments Bula reference is withdrawn, and rejections are made based on Broeke reference.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 571-272-2851. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,694 Page 5

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 –272-2800.

Peter B. Kim

Patent Examiner

February 13, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800